

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 4:24-mj-71750 -MAG-
Plaintiff,) v.) Ason Vians	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT JAN 10 2025
Defendant(s).	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 1/10/25, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 1/10/25 to 1/24/25 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the proson or law, that it is unreasonable to expect a	the number of ecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would der taking into account the exercise of due d	ny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, liligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would und counsel's other scheduled case commitmed See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	reasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nents, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unn necessary for effective preparation, takin See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	reasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ag into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the court s paragraph and — based on the parties' s the time limits for a preliminary hearing	aking into account the public interest in the prompt ets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first howing of good cause — finds good cause for extending under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 10/2025	Landis A. Westmore
STIPULATED: Lissi Land	United States Magistrate Judge Assistant United States Attorney